Poster 122



Long-read cfDNA sequencing detects

J. van den Berg, D.C.L. Vessies, E. Post, K. Roohollahi, D.J.S. Makarawung, F. van Asch *RenovaroCube, Amsterdam, The Netherlands*

Introduction

- Cell-free circulating tumour DNA (ctDNA) is a minimally invasive biomarker
- cfDNA fragmentomics is an emerging research field
- Short fragments (<400bp) have been heavily investigated
- Longer fragments "invisible" with Illumina sequencing
- Hypofragmented phenotype was reported earlier using long-read sequencing ¹⁻³

Methods and cohort



- PCR-free Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT) sequencing
- Count reads in exponentially increasing bin sizes up to 10 kbp
- Cohort:
- 25 healthy
- 25 lung cancer patients
 - 11 stage I
 - 5 stage II
 - 6 stage III
 - 3 stage IV

$\frac{10^{-4}}{10^{-5}} \xrightarrow[10^2]{10^2} \frac{10^3}{10^3} \frac{10^4}{10^4}$ Fragment length (log)

Figure 1. Distribution of cfDNA fragment sizes in healthy patients, and a subset of lung cancer patients identified as having either hyperfragmentation or hypofragmentation phenotype.

Cross-breakpoint motif analysis

- Count occurrences of each 2bp motif around the 5' fragment breakpoint
- Compare hyperfragmented (n=5) to hypofragmented (n=6) motifs across fragment lengths

5' CCTCCCTGAT.[fragment].ACACTTGCCT 3'

Cancer vs healthy classification

- 100x random subsample 200,000 reads per patient
- Count 5' end nucleotides across fragment lengths
- Support vector machine (SVM) model
- Leave-one-patient-out cross validation

Model classification performance





Figure 2. Schematic representation of 5' cross-breakpoint motifs.

Motif enrichment hyper- vs hypofragmented





Motif position to breakpoint

Figure 3. Differential motif enrichment in hyper-fragmented versus hypofragmented samples.

0.4 0.2 0.0 Healthy Stage I Stage II Stage IV (n=25) (n=11) (n=5) (n=6) (n=3)

Figure 4. Cancer prediction based on motif enrichment across fragment lengths.

Conclusions

- Hyperfragmented vs hypofragmented phenotype confirmed
- End motifs are different between:
 - Short reads vs long reads
 - Hyperfragmented vs hypofragmented
- Preliminary model reaches AUC 0.864 in cross validation
- Improved model performance with more data

References:

- Lois Choy LY, Peng W, Jiang P, Cheng SH, Yu SCY, Shang H, et al. Single-Molecule Sequencing Enables Long Cell-Free DNA Detection and Direct Methylation Analysis for Cancer Patients. Clin Chem. 2022;68(9).
- 2. Linthorst J, Nivard M, Sistermans EA, Linthorst J, Nivard M, Sistermans EA. GWAS shows the genetics behind cell-free DNA and highlights the importance of p.Arg206Cys in DNASE1L3 for non-invasive testing. Cell Rep. 2024 Oct 22;43(10):114799.
- 3. Berman BP, Erdman SA, Turatsinze JV, Cayford J, Kelly TK. Long-read sequencing reveals aberrant fragmentation patterns and origins of circulating DNA in cancer. bioRxiv [Internet]. 2024 Jan 1;2024.05.02.592182.

Corresponding authors:

jasper@renovarocube.com, daan@renovarocube.com, khashayar@renovarocube.com Reach out to us!